COURT FEE TEN RUPEES CANCELLED

(1) 3

CH104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3881 **/2003**

PETITIONER ORIGINAL NON-APPLICANT MAHENDRA KUMAR GUPTA, SON OF SHRI GOVIND PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, CASTE GUPTA, KESHARWANI, HINDU, RESIDENT OF BARPALI CHOWK, CHAMPA (MADHYA PRADESH) (NOW DISTRICT JANJGIR-CHAMPA, CHHATTISGARH)

P. R. No. 3669/03 Presented by Shri Manoj Kr. Thakur dated 21.11.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS ORIGINAL APPLICANT

1. **MU.** KUSHIBAI, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, DAUGHTER OF GOVIND PRASAD , WIFE OF CHHEDILAL ALIAS NANKAN, CASTE GUPTA KESHARWANI, HINDU, RESIDENT OF IN FRONT OF SAMLESHWARI MANDIR, CHAMPA, TAH. CHAMPA, DISTT. JANJGIR (M.P.)

ORIGINAL NON-APPLICANTS

2. MU. DHEERAJ BAI, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS DAUGHTER OF GOVIND PRASAD, WIFE OF CHANDRIKA PRASAD CASTE GUPTA KESHARWANI, HINDU, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE DEOKAR, TAHL BEMETARA, DISTT. DURG (MP) (NOW C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 22-11-03 Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

3. MU SHANTIBAI, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, SON OF GOVIND PRASAD WIFE OF SHIVNARAYAN CASTE GUPTA, KESHARWANI, HINDU, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE SUNDERNAGAR, STATE BANK COLONY, RAIPUR (C.G.)

4. MU. LAXMINBAI, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS, DAUGHTER OF GOVIND PRASAD, WIFE OF GAJANAND PRASAD, CASTE GUPTA, KESHARWANI, HINDU, RESIDENT OF NEAR HARDELAL MANDIR,

KAWARDHA DISTRICT, RAJNANDGAON (M.P.)

5. MURTAJA HUSSAIN, AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS SON OF MOHD HUSSAIN, CASTE BOHRA, MUSALMAN, RESIDENT OF HATRI BAZAR, CHAMPA, DISTT. BILASPUR (NOW, DISTT. JANJGIR0CHAMPA, C.G.)

6. STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH (NOW STATE OF CHHATTISGARH), THROUGH : THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, DISTRICT BILASPUR (NOW COLLECTOR, JANJGIR-CHAMPA, C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 3881/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	05.03.2004 Shri M.K. Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court not a fit case to exercise the jurisdiction under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. However, the petitioner may, if so advise, raise question in appeal in case eventuality arises. With this observation, the petition is disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge Pathak/-	

[पीछे देखिये